

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENȚOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,325	02/06/2001	Toshihisa Suzuki	P/2007-79	2906
7	590 07/10/2003			
Steven I Weis			EXAM	EXAMINER CAO, ALLEN T  ET UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2652
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas			CAO, ALLEN T	
41st Flort New York, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2652	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	<b> </b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/777,325	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allen T Cao	2652				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, howeve reply within the statutory minimind will apply and will expire SID atute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on €	05 June 2003 .					
<u>_</u>	This action is non-fina	ıl.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4 and 6-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice  1) Property Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Property Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Property Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Property Notice	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) tther:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9				

Application/Control Number: 09/777,325

Art Unit: 2652

- Claims 2, 4 and 6-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37
   CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill (US. 6,567,244 B1) in view of Kasahara et al (US. 6,583,967 B2).

Gill discloses a pair of magnetic tunnel junction structures (figure 11 and column 5, lines 30-32).

Gill does not disclose the structure relationship of a barrier film, lower and upper magnetic layer, a conductive layer, a substrate and an upper electrode layer as recited in claim 1.

Kasahara et al disclose a magnetic tunnel junction structure having a barrier film 4 between a lower magnetic layer 3 and upper magnetic layer 5, on a conductive layer 2 (lower electrode is a conductive layer) provided continuously on a substrate 1, and respectively and independently forming an upper electrode 12 on each upper magnetic layer of the magnetic tunnel junction structure.

Regarding claim 5, Kasahara et al also disclose that the magnetic tunnel junction is formed by ion beam etching on the conductive layer (column 6, lines 49-54).

Application/Control Number: 09/777,325

Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify/replace the magnetic tunnel junction of Gill with such combined structural of the magnetic tunnel junction as set forth, supra as taught by Kasahara et al to improve the

outputs of the head in order to improve the read/write characteristics of the head.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 4.

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5.

examiner should be directed to Allen Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305-3796.

Allen Cao

Primary Examiner

Menter

AC

July 3, 2003